Journal of Advanced Research in Image Processing and Applications
Volume 7, Issue 1 - 2024, Pg. No. 07-10
Peer Reviewed Journal

Review Article

A Review of Current Techniques for Optimization

Gurjot Kaur

Department of Mathematics, PCTE Institute of Engineering and Technology, Ludhiana, Punjab, India

I N F O

Corresponding Author:
Gurjot Kaur, Department of mathematics, PCTE institute of engineering and Technology, Ludhiana, Punjab, India
E-mail Id:
gurjotkaur@pcte.edu.in
Orcid Id:
https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1180-9075
How to cite this article:
Kaur G. A Review Of Current Techniques For Optimization *J Adv Res Image Proc Appl* 2024; 7(1): 07-10.
Date of Submission: 2024-03-18
Date of Acceptance: 2024-04-27

A B S T R A C T

Regression testing, an inevitable and costly operation often conducted under time and resource constraints, demands efficiency in reducing testing time by minimizing necessary test cases. This article explores strategies for optimizing test cases to achieve optimal fault coverage, comparing and contrasting various approaches. The discussion encompasses a range of accessible optimization strategies, providing insights into their methodologies, applications, evolution, and performance. Recent advancements in optimization techniques, such as the Genetic Algorithm, Ant Colony Method, Honey Bee Algorithm, and Particle Swarm Intelligence Optimization, are also reviewed, offering a comprehensive overview of contemporary practices in this field. Without taking into account specific situations such as convex problems, multi-objective optimization issues, linear programming, multidisciplinary optimization problems, etc., the review maintains its generic nature. Optimization problem formulation, methods of optimization, and solution techniques are presented.

Keywords: Modern optimization techniques, Genetic Algorithm, Ant colony method, Honey Bee Algorithm

Introduction

Optimization approaches provide a means of arriving at judgments in response to particular situations that are consistent with predetermined aims. These methods were first used during World War II, and as a result, many different tactics were developed to deal with different kinds of problems, such as single-objective, multi-objective, linear, and non-linear scenarios. Optimization is a flexible methodology that finds application in a wide range of fields, including fashion technology, mass communication, technical design, finance, and everyday tasks. Either maximizing or minimizing an objective function is the ongoing goal. Various optimization techniques, including Important roles are played by PERT, CPM, the simplex approach, the assignment model, the transportation model, and linear programming. These strategies are used by organizations to reduce expenses and increase profits. There's an innate urge to enhance enjoyment (objective function) while limiting expenditures (ideally free), even in personal activities like holiday planning. People are constantly looking for the best answers to every issue they come across, even if it's not always possible to discover them. Regression testing is a methodology that includes running test cases repeatedly. Test cases are scenarios that a tester evaluates to see if a program or its features work as intended. Regression testing, however, uses a lot of resources; it takes a lot of time and money to run every test case. As a result, test case optimization becomes essential to cut expenses and effort. This study compares and contrasts different test case optimization strategies based on their applicability, methodology, evolution, and performance

Comparative Analysis

Examining different optimization approaches side by side and emphasizing important factors like Evolution, Methodology, Performance, and Applications defines this section.

Evolution: This section explores each technique's evolutionary history, including its rise and fall over time.

Methodology: This section describes how the algorithm operates, emphasizing the techniques used and offering information on how the results are determined.

Performance: This section assesses the methods' overall performance and looks at areas where they could be improved or combined with other strategies to reach new goals.

Applications: The term "Applications" describes how a method, technology, or system is used in real-world situations. The situations in which these methods can be successfully used to produce better outcomes are examined in this section.

Modern Optimization Techniques

The Ant Colony Optimization method is a probabilistic methodology that is utilized to choose the best path within a graph. It takes its cues from the way ants navigate their way from their colony to a food source. It is categorized as a meta-heuristic optimization technique, and its basic idea comes from studying ant behavior. Ants are sight deficient, therefore they use pheromone trails to find the shortest route from their nest to a food source. Pheromones are left behind by the ants on their random course, which they follow. Pheromone concentration directly affects an ant colony's likelihood of selecting a particular path

Methodology: A type of metaheuristic search algorithms called ant algorithms, or ant colony optimization, have been effectively used to solve NP-hard issues. The behavior of actual ant colonies, particularly how they search for food, is the biological model that ant algorithms are based on. One of the fundamental concepts of this strategy is that ants can modify the concentration of extremely volatile substances called pheromones in their immediate surroundings to facilitate indirect communication between them.

Performance: Even though ABC is a simple approach, it works well to resolve complex problems. This approach uses less parameters than previous search algorithms. Combining ABC with other approaches to enhance its functionality works well. Hybridized ABC includes ABC with neural networks, P-DABC, GABC, CABC, and many more.

Applications: Despite its simplicity, ABC is a useful method for solving challenging issues. Comparing this method to earlier search algorithms, less parameters are used. ABC functions well when combined with other strategies to increase its functionality. P-DABC, GABC, CABC, and many more ABC variants using neural networks are examples of hybridized ABC.

Artificial Bee Colony Optimization Algorithm: Honey bees are the most studied social insects. In the beginning, a great deal of study was conducted to solve challenging combinatorial and numerical optimization issues using the diverse behaviors of bees. The Artificial Bee Colony method mimics the waggled dancing behavior of a swarm of bees and is mostly used for continuous optimization issues. carry out while the bees are out collecting food. Three different bee groups are involved in this algorithm: the employed bees, who utilize a waggle dance to inform other bees in the hive about potential food solutions from a predetermined set of food sources; the onlookers bees, who use the information provided by the employed bees to search for a better food source nearby the food sources they have memorized; and the scout bees, which are worker bees who haphazardly look for a new food source after realizing that theirs has been abandoned.

Evolution: Drawing inspiration from the foraging and waggle dance behaviors of honey bee colonies, Karaboga developed the Artificial Bee Colony Optimization algorithm in 2005. The bee colony algorithm has undergone numerous revisions since 2005. 2007. Karaboga and colleagues. Constraint for modified artificial bee colony optimization (MABC) 2009 saw the publication of Interactive Artificial Bee Colony Optimization (IABC) by P.W. Tsai et al. and included numerical optimization. M. Sonmez in 2010. Weight of truss structures in an artificial bee colony with an adaptive penalty function (ABC-AP) 2010, Aderhold A et al. Reference functions: ABCgBest and ABCgBestDist. 2011 saw N. Taspnar. The ABC-PTS, or partial transmit sequences, ratio of maximum to average power El-Abd. ABC (2011) introduced the notion of opposition number-based optimization (OABC) also known as black box optimization. (2014) Honey bee mating optimization algorithm (HBMO) by Deepak Rai et al.

Methodology : ABC seeks to produce the maximum number of test cases in the shortest amount of time and money. Bees typically use rising nectar levels to identify potential food sources. Based on their own and their nestmates' experiences, worker and observation bees choose their food sources.

Performance: ABC is a basic approach that successfully handles challenging situations. Comparing this method to earlier search algorithms, less parameters are needed. The performance of ABC is greatly enhanced when combined with other techniques. There are several other hybridized ABC models available, such as hybrid ABC, ABC with neural networks, P-DABC, GABC, and CABC.

Applications: The well-placement problem has been approached using a variety of stochastic techniques, including the covariance matrix adaptation evolution strategy (CMA-ES), genetic algorithm (GA), simulated annealing, particle swarm optimization (PSO), and simultaneous perturbation stochastic approximation (SPSA).

Genetic Algorithm: Based on evolutionary principles, genetic algorithms are stochastic search strategies. Numerous variable non-linear systems are frequently optimized using this technique. Within the defining domain, at randomly chosen locations, genetic algorithms maximize the target function. A new set of points, or population, is formed after accounting for this data. Slowly The local maximums and minima of the function are approached by the points in the population. The function doesn't need to be continuous or differentiable. Genetic algorithms are capable of generating satisfying results even in the presence of several local minima or peaks in a function.

Evolution: In 1975, John Holland published Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems, which established the concept of genetic algorithms. Then, in 1992, John Koza employed genetic algorithms to create programs to carry out certain tasks. His approach was dubbed "Genetic Programming" (GP). Among GA's advancements are:Fleming Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm (MOGA) Optimization, by C. M. Fonseca and P. J., was published in 993.J. Horn et al. Niched Pareto (Multi-objective optimization using genetic algorithms) in 1994. K. Deb and N. Srinivas (1995) Non-Dominated Sorting).Gehring and Bortfeldt (2002) addressed the issue of parallel genetic algorithms' inability to load containers. Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithms II (NSGA-II) was published in 2002 by Deb, K. et al. Limited optimization issue 2002 Self-Adapting Genetic Algorithm, Hartmann, S. Scheduling projects with limited resources 2002 Zvi Drezner Novel Genetic Algorithm 2004 Quadratic Assignment Problem Better Hybrid Genetic Algorithm Generalized Assignment Problem, Feltl et al., 2007 Dong Hwa Kim et al. Global optimization using Hybrid Genetic Algorithm Volume 131, No. 10, December 2015, International Journal of Computer Applications (0975–8887) Advanced Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm time and space assembly line balance problem (2012), Manuel Chica et al. The 2014 container loading challenge for Mauricio G.C. Resende's parallel multi-population biased random-key genetic algorithm was solved by José Fernando Gonçalves. Dynamic Nitching Genetic Algorithm docking extremely flexible ligands, Camila Silva de Magalhães et al.

Methodology: A genetic algorithm can be used to solve problems by doing the following steps

1. **Initialization:** Usually, a randomly generated initial population is used to start genetic algorithms. Nonetheless, several studies have employed cutting-edge methods to produce an initial population of greater quality. As a result, a technique is created to give the GA a good start and quicken the evolutionary process.
2. **Selection:** The two parent chromosomes are chosen based on the fitness of the population. Selection is more likely the greater the fitness level.
3. **Reproduction:** Using the current selection system, one or two children are produced by crossing two chromosomes that have been chosen. It is also possible to apply mutations, with the outcome being reintroduced into the population. The population that is least fit is removed.
4. **Crossover:** The parent can have new offspring (children) if there is a crossover probability.
5. **Mutation:** After a crossover, this procedure is carried out. Genetic diversity is maintained via mutations between chromosomal generations.
6. **Replacement:** Perform another algorithm run using the recently created population.

Performance In relation to alternative stochastic algorithms. One benefit of genetic algorithms is that they are simple to parallelize. Because of their independence, several processors can be used to perform the function's calculations on a population. For this reason, genetic algorithms are inherently parallel. By comparison with other non-parallelizable optimization techniques, they can offer a notable speed boost. The advantage of genetic algorithms over strictly local techniques such as gradient descent is that they are not restricted to a suboptimal local minimum or maximum for the target function. As the population improves function values, a genetic algorithm can move away from a local maximum or minimum by utilizing data from many regions.

Applications Global optimization, scheduling, power system optimization, and wireless ad hoc networks are just a few of the uses for GA. Real-time systems, stereo image processing, etc.

Particle Swarm Intelligence Optimization

Particle Swarm Intelligence Optimization (PSO) is modeled after the information-sharing and mutual learning characteristic of swarming animals and birds on their hunt for food. A random population of particles is introduced into the system at first. Particles travel in a D-dimensional space during optimization. The location, velocity, and optimal position of particles remain constant. Stoic velocity manipulation results from iterative rule modifications

Evolution: In 1995, Kennedy and Eberhart published a research paper titled "Particle Swarm Optimization," which developed the heuristic global optimization technique known as PSO. Numerous adjustments and enhancements have been done since then. Among them are: 2007 Karaboga and associates. Optimizing modified artificial bee colonies (MABC) Limited optimization P.W. Tai et al., 2009. Artificial bee colony optimization that is interactive

(IABC) Optimization of numbers M. Sonmez, 2010. ABC-AP is an artificial bee colony with an adaptive punishment function. Truss structure weight 2010 Aderhold, A., and others. Benchmark functions ABCgBest and ABCgBestDist 2011 Taspnar, N. ABC-based partial transmit sequences, or PTSs for short, ratio of peak to average power M. El-Abd. ABC in 2011 utilizing the opposition number-based optimization (OABC) idea Optimization of black box 2014 Rai Deepak et al. The optimization algorithm for honey bee mating

Methodology: PSO is a D-dimensional space optimum solution-finding global optimization technique. Particles in PSO follow the best-flying particles to navigate the problem space. Every particle follows its own location in the problem space and makes a contribution to the best answer. After every iteration, particles travel in solution space and are assessed using fitness functions.

Performance: Compared to the previously mentioned methods, PSO can quickly and efficiently tackle mixed-integer nonlinear optimization problems that were challenging to solve using traditional techniques (Kitagawa, Takenaka, & Fukuyama, 2004). Nevertheless, PSO experiences early convergence, a basic problem with evolutionary algorithms, especially in the case of complicated or multimodal search fields. Increasing the search space will be a useful strategy for locating better answers and preventing the issue of early convergence

Applications PSO is useful in a wide range of situations. It may be used to optimize a wide range of issues, including artificial neural networks, gesture recognition, T-way software testing, mini-max problems, picture classification, job shop scheduling, and many more.

Conclusion

The development, technique, effectiveness, and application of optimization strategies are compared in this critical study. These algorithms can be applied directly or through modifications in a range of ways as discussed in this work. It is possible to create new algorithms for different scope regions in the future.

References

1. Karaboga, D., & Basturk, B. (2007). A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: Artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm. Journal of Global Optimization, 39(3), 459-471.
2. Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks (Vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948). IEEE.
3. Holland, J. H. (1975). Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems. MIT Press.
4. Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S., & Meyarivan, T. (2002). A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, 6(2), 182-197.
5. Fonseca, C. M., & Fleming, P. J. (1993). Genetic algorithms for multiobjective optimization: Formulation, discussion and generalization. In Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Genetic Algorithms (pp. 416-423). Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
6. Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (2001). Swarm Intelligence. Morgan Kaufmann.
7. Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. (1998). A modified particle swarm optimizer. In IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation Proceedings. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence (Cat. No. 98TH8360) (pp. 69-73). IEEE.