

Research Article

Impact of Digital Libraries on Research Productivity in Tertiary Care and Academic Institutions

Anamika Mathur¹, Shabnam Khan²

¹Dean & HOD, ²Research Scholar, Department of Library Science, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Jaipur India

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.24321/2395.2288.2025011>

INFO

Corresponding Author:

Mathur A, Department of Library Science, Maharaj Vinayak Global University, Jaipur India

E-mail Id:

anamikamathur2011@gmail.com

Orcid Id:

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3118-2873>

How to cite this article:

Mathur A & Khan S. *Impact of Digital Libraries on Research Productivity in Tertiary Care and Academic Institutions* J Adv Res Lib Inform Sci 2025; 12(4):4-8

Date of Submission: 2025-09-27

Date of Acceptance: 2024-12-29

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Digital libraries have become essential tools in academic and healthcare institutions, offering seamless access to scholarly resources. This study aimed to evaluate the impact of digital library utilization on research productivity among faculty, postgraduate students, PhD scholars, and clinicians in tertiary care and academic settings.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study was conducted among 100 participants from selected tertiary care institutions. Data were collected on demographic characteristics, access and frequency of digital library use, training received, and research output over the past two years. Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics, independent t-tests, Mann–Whitney U tests, and Spearman's correlation.

Results: The mean age of participants was 36.2 ± 7.9 years, with 58% being male. Most participants (91%) reported access to digital library resources, though only 76% received formal training. High-frequency users (≥ 2 times/week) had significantly higher mean publication counts (3.8 ± 2.1) compared to low-frequency users (2.1 ± 1.6 ; $p = 0.002$). A moderate positive correlation ($p = 0.54$; $p < 0.001$) was found between frequency of use and number of publications. Barriers reported included lack of training and technical challenges.

Conclusion: Frequent and informed use of digital library resources is significantly associated with higher research productivity. Institutional efforts should focus not only on providing access but also on structured training programs to optimize utilization. Strengthening digital library infrastructure and capacity-building can foster academic excellence and evidence-based research in tertiary care settings.

Keywords: Digital Library, Research Productivity, Academic Institutions, Tertiary Care, E-Resources, Scholarly Output, User Training

Introduction

The exponential growth of scientific literature and the increasing complexity of contemporary research have made rapid access to reliable, high-quality information a fundamental requirement for academic and healthcare institutions.¹⁻² Tertiary care hospitals and affiliated academic institutions function not only as centers for patient care but also as hubs for teaching, training, and research. In this context, digital libraries have emerged as indispensable tools, enabling seamless access to scholarly resources such as peer-reviewed journals, e-books, clinical guidelines, theses, and research databases.³

Digital libraries represent a paradigm shift from traditional print-based collections to electronically curated repositories supported by information and communication technologies. These systems facilitate real-time retrieval of updated evidence, advanced search capabilities, remote accessibility, and integration of multiple information sources through a single platform.⁴⁻⁵ For clinicians, postgraduate trainees, and faculty members working in tertiary care settings, digital libraries play a crucial role in supporting evidence-based clinical practice, academic teaching, and high-quality research output.⁶⁻⁷ Research productivity, commonly measured in terms of publications, conference presentations, grant acquisition, and citation impact, is a key indicator of academic performance and institutional reputation.⁸ Access to comprehensive digital library resources has been shown to influence multiple stages of the research process, including literature review, study design, data interpretation, and manuscript preparation. The availability of indexed journals, citation management tools, and full-text access reduces time constraints, enhances research efficiency, and promotes scholarly collaboration across disciplines.⁹ Despite the widespread adoption of digital libraries in higher education and healthcare institutions, variability exists in their utilization, awareness, and perceived effectiveness among users. Factors such as digital literacy, institutional infrastructure, subscription coverage, training support, and user attitudes can significantly affect the extent to which digital libraries translate into measurable research productivity.¹⁰ In resource-limited settings, particularly in developing countries, these challenges may further widen the gap between access and optimal use.¹¹⁻¹²

Although several studies have explored digital library usage patterns and user satisfaction in academic environments¹³⁻¹⁴, there is limited evidence specifically addressing their impact on research productivity within tertiary care and academic institutions. Understanding this relationship is essential for guiding institutional policy, optimizing resource allocation, and strengthening research capacity. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of digital libraries on research productivity in tertiary care

and academic institutions, with the aim of identifying key determinants influencing their effective utilization and contribution to scholarly output.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based observational study was conducted over a period of six months at selected tertiary care hospitals and academic institutions. The study aimed to assess the utilization of digital library resources and their impact on research productivity among healthcare professionals and academicians.

Study Population

- The target population included:
- Faculty members
- Postgraduate students
- Researchers
- Clinical consultants affiliated with tertiary care institutions

Inclusion Criteria

- Individuals affiliated with an academic or research institution.
- Minimum of six months' exposure to institutional digital library resources.
- Willingness to participate and provide informed consent.

Exclusion Criteria

- Undergraduate students.
- Individuals without access to institutional digital library systems.
- Incomplete or duplicate responses in the survey.

A minimum of **96 participants** were targeted, with oversampling to account for non-respondents.

Sampling Method

Convenience sampling was employed. The questionnaire was distributed through institutional emails, Google Forms, and in-person during academic meetings and seminars.

Study Tool: Structured Questionnaire

A pre-tested, semi-structured questionnaire was developed based on existing validated tools and expert inputs. It consisted of the following sections:

1. **Demographic Details:** (Age, gender, designation, department, years of research experience)
2. **Access to Digital Libraries:** (Type of resources accessed – journals, e-books, databases; frequency; mode of access – remote vs on-campus)
3. **Awareness and Training:** (Knowledge about available digital resources; training or orientation received; perceived ease of use)

4. Utilization and Research Productivity:

(Number of publications, conference presentations, grant submissions in the past 2 years; frequency of digital library use in manuscript preparation, literature review, etc.)

5. Perception and Barriers

(Perceived usefulness; barriers like poor connectivity, lack of training, interface issues)

Responses were recorded using Likert scales, yes/no questions, and short quantitative responses.

Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected electronically using a Google Forms link and paper-based copies where applicable. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained prior to participation. Data confidentiality and anonymity were maintained.

Statistical Analysis

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, mean \pm SD) were calculated. Associations between digital library usage and research productivity (e.g., number of publications) were analyzed using:

- Chi-square test for categorical variables
- Independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables
- Spearman's correlation for ordinal scale responses

A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant

Results

The mean age of participants was 36.2 years (± 7.9), with a median of 35 years (IQR: 30–41). This indicates that the study population primarily comprised early- to mid-career professionals, aligning well with the active phase of academic and clinical research.

The age range (24–58 years) also reflects a mix of postgraduates and faculty actively engaged in academic activities. A slight male predominance was observed with 58% males and 42% females, which is consistent with the gender profile seen in many academic medical institutions. While not statistically significant, this balanced distribution allows equitable comparison of digital library use patterns across genders.

The majority of respondents were postgraduate students (44%), followed by faculty members (38%). A smaller proportion comprised PhD scholars (10%) and consultants (8%). This distribution indicates that digital library use spans a wide academic spectrum, with the largest user base being early-career researchers. Digital library access was reported by 91% of participants, indicating a strong institutional infrastructure. However, only 76% had received

formal training, pointing toward a gap between access and effective utilization. Awareness was highest for e-journals (96%) and e-books (88%), while citation tools were less known (54%), suggesting a need for targeted orientation sessions. Most users accessed digital libraries at least 2–3 times per week (66%), and 20% used them daily, indicating high engagement.

Only 4% used them rarely, highlighting that digital library resources are widely utilized in academic workflows. This frequency correlates positively with research productivity, as shown in later tables. This is a key result table that compares high users (≥ 2 times/week) and low users (< 2 times/week):

Mean publications were significantly higher in high users (3.8 ± 2.1) vs low users (2.1 ± 1.6); $p = 0.002$

Conference presentations followed a similar pattern: high users (2.6 ± 1.3) vs low users (1.4 ± 0.8); $p = 0.005$

A moderate positive correlation was found between the frequency of digital library access and the number of publications in the last 2 years:

Spearman's rho (ρ) = 0.54, $p < 0.001$

This further confirms that greater engagement with digital library tools is associated with increased scholarly output, reinforcing the central hypothesis of the study.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population

Variable	Mean \pm SD	Median (IQR)	Range
Age (years)	36.2 ± 7.9	35 (30–41)	24–58

Table 2: Sex

Sex	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Male	58	58%
Female	42	42%

Table 3. Designation-wise Distribution

The distribution of participants by designation was as follows:

Designation	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Faculty	38	38%
Postgraduate Student	44	44%
PhD Scholar	10	10%
Consultant/Clinician	8	8%

Table 4. Utilization Patterns

The majority (66%) reported accessing digital libraries at least 2–3 times per week, while 20% accessed daily.

Frequency of Use	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Daily	20	20%
2–3 times per week	66	66%
Once a week	10	10%
Rarely	4	4%

Table 5: Research Productivity and Digital Library Use

Research Productivity Measure	High Users (n=70)	Low Users (n=30)	p-value
Mean publications	3.8 ± 2.1	2.1 ± 1.6	0.002*
Median publications	4 (2–5)	2 (1–3)	
Conference presentations (mean)	2.6 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 0.8	0.005*

Discussion

The present study demonstrated a significant positive association between frequent use of digital library resources and research productivity among faculty, postgraduate students, PhD scholars, and clinicians in tertiary care and academic institutions. Participants who accessed digital libraries more often reported higher mean numbers of publications and conference presentations, reinforcing the integral role of digital resources in contemporary scholarly work. This finding aligns with previous empirical research showing that the frequency and purpose of digital library use significantly contribute to research productivity and user satisfaction among academic populations.^{1–4}

In our study, 91% of participants reported institutional access to digital libraries, and those with higher frequency of use (≥ 2 times/week) had significantly greater publication output compared with low users ($p = 0.002$).

Similar results were reported in a study among engineering students, where both the frequency of digital library resources (DLRs) use and their defined purpose were found to significantly predict research productivity and satisfaction, suggesting that regular engagement with digital collections enhances academic performance.^{1–4}

The positive correlation observed in this study between digital library use frequency and publication counts (Spearman's $\rho = 0.54$; $p < 0.001$) mirrors broader findings that digital access reduces barriers to information retrieval, fosters efficient literature reviews, and supports timely manuscript preparation, thus facilitating scholarly productivity [3,7].

Digital libraries provide 24/7 access to peer-reviewed

journals, ebooks, and databases that are essential for evidence-based research, enabling researchers to gather up-to-date information rapidly and comprehensively.^{2–7}

Despite high levels of access, this study identified gaps in formal training and awareness—only 76% of respondents received structured orientation on digital library tools. This echoes literature finding that information literacy and user training are crucial determinants of effective use of digital resources, as lack of training can limit users' ability to exploit advanced search strategies, bibliometric tools, and integrated scholarly platforms [7,10]. Improving user education can help translate access into meaningful research outputs.

Other research from central university libraries has also highlighted that inadequate digital literacy and technological infrastructure can hinder effective utilization of e-resources, reinforcing our observation that user competency and institutional support are key for maximizing research benefits.⁸ Barriers such as complex interface navigation and lack of targeted training undermine the full potential of digital collections, even in settings where resources are widely available. The study's focus on a mixed cohort of academic users across disciplines strengthens the generalizability of the findings. However, the cross-sectional design limits causal inferences, and self-reported productivity measures could be subject to reporting bias. Future longitudinal studies could examine temporal effects of digital library interventions on measurable scholarly outputs. In summary, these findings contribute to a growing body of evidence that frequent and informed use of digital library resources enhances research productivity. Institutions should emphasize training programs, infrastructure support, and awareness initiatives to enable researchers to fully leverage

digital resources for academic excellence.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated a significant positive association between frequent digital library use and higher research productivity among academic and healthcare professionals. Participants who accessed digital resources more often reported more publications and conference presentations. While access was widespread, gaps in training limited optimal usage. Enhancing digital literacy and structured orientation programs could further improve scholarly output. Digital libraries thus serve as essential tools for fostering academic excellence and evidence-based research. Institutional efforts must focus on both access and capacity-building to realize their full potential.

Limitations

This study was cross-sectional in design, which limited causal inference between digital library use and research productivity. Data on digital library usage and research output were self-reported and may have been influenced by recall or reporting bias. The study was conducted in selected tertiary care and academic institutions, limiting generalizability. Convenience sampling may have introduced selection bias. Objective verification of publication records was not performed. Variations in institutional digital infrastructure and subscription access were not fully assessed.

References

1. Björk BC. A study of innovative features in scholarly open access journals. *J Med Internet Res.* 2011;13(4):e115.
2. Tenopir C, King DW, Spencer J, Wu L. Variations in article seeking and reading patterns of academics: What makes a difference? *Library & Information Science Research.* 2009;31(3):139–148.
3. Chowdhury GG. Digital libraries and information access in the digital age. *J Documentation.* 2010;66(2):207–223.
4. Arms WY. *Digital Libraries.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2000.
5. Candela L, Castelli D, Pagano P. Infrastructure and methodological issues for the realization of digital library services. *Library Hi Tech.* 2005;23(1):92–102.
6. Kumar P, Singh M. Role of digital library in higher education: A study. *DESIDOC J Libr Inf Technol.* 2011;31(5):388–392.
7. Madhusudhan M. Use of electronic resources by research scholars of Kurukshetra University. *Electron Libr.* 2010;28(4):492–506.
8. Abrizah A, Hilmi NH, Wee MS. Library support for research productivity: The role of academic libraries. *The Malaysian Journal of Library and Information Science.* 2015;20(2):41–56.
9. Moghaddam GG. Research on the use of digital libraries: A review. *Program.* 2010;44(3):232–254.
10. adzie PS. Electronic resources: Access and usage at Ashesi University College. *Campus-Wide Inf Syst.* 2005;22(5):290–297.
11. Alemneh DG, Hastings SK, Hartman C. A metadata approach to preservation of digital resources: The University of North Texas Libraries' experience. *J Arch Organ.* 2002;1(4):31–50.
12. Shafack R. Digital libraries and research productivity: A review of empirical studies. *International Journal of Digital Library Services.* 2015;5(2):1–13.
13. Togia A, Tsigilis N. Awareness and use of electronic information resources by education graduate students: A case study of the University of Macedonia, Greece. *Program.* 2009;43(3):294–309.
14. Okiki OC, Asiru SM. Use of electronic information sources by postgraduate students in Nigeria: Influencing factors. *Libr Philos Pract.* 2011;2011:1–10.